# Advances in Nutrition and Food science



# **Research Article**

# Adv Nutri and Food Scie: ANAFS-114

# Study and Determination of the best Plant Density and Planting Patterns of Silage Corn (zea mays l.), H.S.C. 704 Cultivar

# Alireza Saberi

Agronomy and Garden Research Department, Golestan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Gorgan, Iran.

\*Corresponding author: Alireza Saberi, Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center of Golestan Province, Gorgan, Iran. Tel: +981713359813; Email: alireza\_sa70@yahoo.com

**Citation:** Saberi A (2018) Study and Determination of the best Plant Density and Planting Patterns of Silage Corn (zea mays l.), H.S.C. 704 Cultivar. Adv Nutri and Food Scie: ANAFS-114.

Received Date: 29 September, 2018; Accepted Date: 6 October, 2018; Published Date: 23 October, 2018

### Abstract

To examine the effect of plant densities and sowing patterns on yield and agronomical characteristics of corn (hybrid S.C.704), a field experiment was conducted at agricultural research station of Gorgan. This experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design arranged in a factorial with four replications. Forage corn experiment had four levels of plant densities (D1=65000, D2=75000, D3=85000, D4=95000) and with two planting arrangements (p1=single row and p2=double row 15 cm space apart ). The results showed; there was significant difference between planting arrangement for total dry matter, number of kernel per ear, kernel per row, ear length and double row produced higher amount for all above characters. In addition, plant density had a significant effect on total dry weight, number of kernel per year, number of row per year, total fresh weight, ear length at 0.01 probability levels and with an increase in plant density amount of biomass increased. The highest forage yield was produced by 95000 plant density and 15 centimeter double row at 5% significant (93.31 ton ha-1). It might be concluded that by using double row planting pattern the inter plant competition could be decreased and higher yield might be produced.

1. Keywords: Forage ; Hybrid S.C.704; Planting Arrangement; Sowing Density

#### 2. Introduction

With the increase in world population, demand for food consequently will grow. It is expected that human population will increase to over 8 billion by the year 2020 and this will worsen the current scenario of food security. Improved crop productivity over the past 50 years has resulted in increasing world food supplies up to 20% per person and reducing proportion of food-insecure peoples living in developing countries from 57% to 27% of total population (FAO 2003) [1]. It is predicted that at least 10 million people will be hungry and malnourished in the world by the end of this century (FAO 2003) [1]. Thus, to reduce the food insecurity, crop production will have to be doubled, and produced in more environmentally sustainable ways [2]. This can be achieved by expanding the area of crop production, increasing per hectare yield and improving crop quality. Furthermore, during the second half of the past century, rise in per hectare crop productivity was due to improved or high yield potential [3].

The relationship between growth of corn under different planting pattern and plant density is not well understood. Many changes take place in plants to enable them to compete and maintain photosynthetic activity. A consideration of the adaptation mechanisms by which density affects photosynthesis would aid the improvement of growth conditions and crop yield and would provide useful tools for future genetic engineering. Works in the late 1980s demonstrated that yields can be raised two to three-fold by using available improved varieties and appropriate agronomic techniques. But, these findings need to be refined, improved and tested for local climatic, soil and crop conditions [4].

These include in the aspects of to what extent of planting pattern and plant density affect the yield and morphopysiological parameters of corn. In addition, no comprehensive database is available on corn under combination of pattern and density in northern Iran. Thus, studies are still needed to improve our understanding of the effects of pattern and density for corn. Hence, the present study was to design with the following objectives:

- To determine the performance of corn at different levels of plant density.
- To study the effect of planting arrangement on yield and morphological parameters of corn.
- To identify how interaction of planting pattern and plant density affect yield and yield components of corn.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

In order to the development and management for corn in summer season, the current study was conducted to find the effects of different planting pattern and plant density on yield and yield components of corn. A field experiment was conducted in 2006 at Gorgan agricultural research station, Northern Iran (36°N 54.00′ 54°E 25.00′, 51m altitude). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design and replicated four times. The experiment consisted of 8 treatments outlined as follows:

Forage corn experiment had four levels of plant densities (D1=65000, D2=75000, D3=85000, D4=95000) and with two planting arrangements (p1=single row and p2=double row 15 cm space apart). Fix distance of maize was 75 cm and the space among bushes on the furrow double row arrangement was 15 cm. Those totally were including 32 plots. Each treatment with six reasbed and 6 meters length is planting, and in doughing - milking stage for forage harvested (**Figure 1**).



Figure 1: General pictures from experiments, showing canopy of double row planting pattern

All observations on dates of recording were in accordance with the related statistical design; sufficient numbers of plants were sown for each treatment to facilitate destructive sampling for determining relative growth rates at the various growth stages. The selected field was under wheat cultivated, after harvesting wheat on 15 June of 2006. The considered land plowed in deep of 20-25 cm, then with cross of desk made ready for planting. At the end the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data was performed using the software of SAS (2004) [5] by the proc. GLM procedure and significant of means between the treatments were obtained using Duncan Multiple Range Test at P<0.05.

### 4. Results

The results of comparing agronomic parameters of corn at four plant densities (**Tables 1,2,3,4**) showed, that most of the corn studied characters included; total fresh weight, total dry yield, stem dry weight, leaf dry weight, husk dry weight, ear seed row, ear diameter, stem diameter and plant height were statistically significant at 5% probability level. In addition, above yield and yield components parameters with an increase in plant density increased, i.e. the highest total fresh weight, total dry yield, stem dry weight, leaf dry weight with 87.40, 14.55, 5.83, 3.14 and 2.86 t/ha respectively obtained from plant density of 95000 plant/ha. While morphological parameters got from medium plant density (75000 plant/ha), in contrast plant height increased at low and high plant density.

Treats/Treatment		Earlength (mm)	Total fresh weight (kg/h)	latoT rae thgiew (h/gk)	Ear Seed Row	Seed in ear	Number of seed in ear	Total dry weight (kg/h)	Eardiameter (mm)
	D1	19.68	77.42	20.46	14.51	25.67	432.1	12.45	40.63
<b>D</b> 11	D2	19.53	80.75	21.32	14.61	26.06	439.7	13.28	42.47
Density	D3	18.41	85.68	21.46	13.8	23.44	385	13.22	41.06
	D4	18.41	87.4	21.1	14.22	24.18	399.1	14.55	41.07
	LSD (%)	2.24	7.238	2.537	0.763	4.391	56.05	1.058	1.418
D       Density;         D1 $65000$ plant ha <sup>-1</sup> D21 $75000$ plant ha <sup>-1</sup> ,         D3 $85000$ plant ha <sup>-1</sup> ,         D4 $95000$ plant ha <sup>-1</sup>									

 Table 1: Mean comparison of yield and some agronomic characteristics of silage corn on deferent plant density (2 years results).

	Treats/Treatment	Ear length (mm)	Total fresh weight (kg/h)	Total ear weight (kg/h)	Ear seed row	Seed in ear	Number of seed in ear	Total dry weight (kg/h)	Ear diameter (mm)
	Single row	19.84	78.55	19.81	14.6	26.57	442.3	12.71	41.82
Planting pattern	Double row	18.18	87.08	22.36	13.97	23.1	485.7	14.04	40.79
Pattern	LSD (%)	1.162	9.156	4.43	2.062	1.727	41.89	1.611	3.847

**Table2:** Mean comparison of yield and some agronomic characteristics of silage corn on deferent planting pattern (2 years results).

Treats/Treatment	Ear length (mm)	Total fresh weight (kg/h	Total ear weight (kg/h)	Ear Seed Row	Seed in ear	Number of seed in ear	Total dry weight (kg/h)	Ear diameter (mm)
P1 * D1	20.19	76.88	19.98	14.63	27.63	460.7	12.16	47.94
P1 * D2	20.53	75.37	19.6	15.25	27.14	465.7	12.41	44.26

Density × Pattern	P1 * D3 P1 * D4 P2 * D1 P2 * D2 P2 * D3 P2 * D4 LSD (%)		18.86         19.76         19.76         18.53         17.96         17.05         1.266	80.46           81.48           77.97           86.13           90.9           93.31           10.46	20.02 19.64 20.94 23.04 22.9 22.56 4.827	14.07         14.44         14.39         13.98         13.92         14         2.248	24.66 26.86 23.71 24.97 22.22 22.5 1.877	403.9 438.9 403.6 413.7 466.1 459.4 79.67	12.51 13.75 12.73 14.15 13.93 15.36 1.755	43.54 43.5 38.37 40.67 38.59 38.64 2.639
Abbreviatio	on: P P1 P2 D D1 D21 D3	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	Single Double Densit 65000 75000	e row	1;	I				

**Table3:** Mean comparison of yield and some agronomic characteristics of silage corn on deferent planting pattern and plant density (2 years results).

95000 plant/ha

	Treat s/ Treat ment	Husk dry weight(T on/ha)	Ear dry weight(T on/ha)	Cob dry weight(T on/ha)	Stem dry weight(T on/ha)	Leaf dry weight(T on/ha)	Stem diamete r(mm)	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Num ber of leaf
	D1	1.898	2.613	1.178	5.037	2.668	16.09	191.3	99.12	11.4 6
Den	D2	1.971	3.111	1.198	5.386	2.804	16.26	198.9	101.8	11.8
sity	D3	2.046	3.04	1.146	5.285	2.842	15.77	213.2	111.4	11.8 5
	D4	2.076	3.016	1.121	5.836	3.147	15.72	207.2	109.2	11.8 4
	LSD (%)	0.1283	0.633	0.289	0.559	0.174	2.26	17.75	15.31	1.18 5

Abbreviation:

**D4** 

:

D       :       Density;         D1       :       65000 plant/ha         D21       :       75000 plant/ha,         D3       :       85000 plant/ha,         D4       :       95000 plant/ha	P P1 P2	: : :	Planting pattern; Single row, Double row
D21 : 75000 plant/ha, D3 : 85000 plant/ha,	2	:	Density;
D3 : 85000 plant/ha,	D1	:	65000 plant/ha
•	D21	:	75000 plant/ha,
D4 : 95000 plant/ha	D3	:	85000 plant/ha,
	D4	:	95000 plant/ha

**Table4:** Mean comparison of yield and some agronomic characteristics of silage corn on deferent plant density (2 years results).

As shown at (**Tables 5**), the main effect investigation of planting arrangement could not change significantly amount of above treats, just increased ear dry weight 14% (from 2.72 to 3.16 t/ ha), it means the benefit of planting pattern would be appear if arrange with suitable plant density.

	Treats/Tr eatment	Husk dry weight( Ton/ha)	Ear dry weight( Ton/ha)	Cob dry weight( Ton/ha)	Stem dry weight( Ton/ha)	Leaf dry weight( Ton/ha)	Stem diamete r(mm)	Plant heigh t(cm)	Ear heigh t(cm)	Nu mbe r of leaf
Plan ting	Single row	2.032	2.726	1.122	5.177	2.582	16.27	203.3	107.6	11.6 9
patt ern	Double row	1.196	3.164	1.199	5.595	3.138	15.65	202	103.2	11.7 8
	LSD (%)	0.3646	0.3938	0.6353	0.5606	0.1778	1.797	7.823	3.261	0.26 12

**Table5;** Mean comparison of yield and some agronomic characteristics of silage corn on deferent planting pattern (2 years results).

Interaction of planting pattern and plant density become significant at most corn studied characters. Combination treatment of planting patterns and plant density; showed: high plant density (95000 plant/ ha) in double row pattern produced the most total fresh weight (93.31 t/ ha), total dry weight (15.36 t/ ha), dry stem (6.04 t/ ha) and dry leaf (3.38 t/ ha) and number of leaf (11.91). The highest plant height (214.5 cm), ear height (113.9 cm) and stem diameter (17.76 mm) obtained from plant density of 85000 plant/ha in single row pattern, while production of dry corn at double row pattern (at the same plant densities) showed better performance. Other morphological parameters and yield components such as, ear length, ear seed row, seed in row and number of seed per ear were the best at low plant density (**Table 6**).

	Treats/T reatment	Husk dry weight( Ton/ha)	Ear dry weight( Ton/ha)	Cob dry weight( Ton/ha)	Stem dry weight( Ton/ha)	Leaf dry weight( Ton/ha)	Stem diamet er(mm)	Plant heigh t(cm)	Ear heigh t(cm)	Nu mbe r of leaf
	P1 * D1	1.865	2.46	1.119	4.991	2.431	16.38	187.6	99.94	11.4 8
	P1 * D2	2.056	2.823	1.164	5.088	2.422	17.18	201.1	105.5	11.6 9
	P1 * D3	2.095	2.85	1.114	4.99	2.566	17.76	214.5	113.9	11.8 4
Density×	P1 * D4	2.112	2.773	1.094	5.631	2.91	15.76	210.1	110.9	11.7 7
Pattern	P2 * D1	1.931	2.776	1.236	5.082	2.905	15.8	195	98.3	11.4 4
	P2 * D2	1.886	3.938	1.322	5.685	3.185	15.34	196.8	98.09	11.9 1
	P2 * D3	1.996	3.231	1.178	5.572	3.118	15.77	211.9	108.9	11.8 6
	P2 * D4	2.06	3.259	1.149	6.04	3.384	15.68	204.3	107.5	11.9 1
	LSD (%)	0.729	0.787	0.213	0.792	0.194	1.959	15.65	3.533	0.28 4

Abbreviation:

Р	:	Planting pattern;
P1	:	Single row,
P2	:	<b>Double row</b>
D	:	Density;
D1	:	65000 plant/ha,
D21	:	75000 plant/ha,

D3	:	85000 plant/ha,	
D4	:	95000 plant/ha	

**Table6:** Mean comparison of yield and some agronomic characteristics of silage corn on deferent planting pattern and plant density (2 years results).

#### 5. Discussion

The results showed with changing planting arrangement from single row to double row plant density would increase by 15% without negative effect on yield and yield components parameters. It means at minimum and medium plant density specially on one double - row pattern, the bushes can grow better and produce a good ear [6-8].

Increasing the yield at high plant density due to double row pattern, May is because of closing to square planting arrangement. The yield at low plant density due to lacking number of plant per surface and at high plant density because of competition for absorption growth elements and interference of male and females flowers become [9-11].

#### 6. Conclusion

With considering double row planting arrangement, plant density would increase by 15% without negative effect on yield component and the yield could increase by 20%. The highest forage yield was produced by 95000 plant density and 15 centimeter double row at 5% significant (93.31 t/ha). It might be concluded that by using double row planting pattern the inter plant competition could be decreased and higher yield might be attained.

#### References

- 1. FAO (2003) Fertilizer use by crop in Uzbekistan. FAO, Rome.
- Borlaug NE, Dowswell CR (2005) Feeding a world of ten billion people: a 21 st century challenge. In:Tuberosa T,Phillips RL,Gale M (eds) Proceeding of "In the Wake of Double Helix: From the Green Revolution to the Gene Revaluation", 21-31 May 2003, at Bologna, Italy. Avenue Media, Bologna, Italy 3-24.
- **3.** Arous JL, Slafer GA, Reynolds MP, Royo C (2004) Physiology of yield and adaptation in wheat and barley breeding. In: Blum A, Nguyen H (eds) physiology and biotechnology integration for plant breeding. Marcel Dekker. New York 1-49.
- 4. Qureshi AS, Qadir M, Heydari N, Turral H, Javadi A (2007) A review of management strategies for salt-prone land and water resources in Iran. International water management Institute. 30P (IWMI working paper 125) Colombo, Sri Lanka.
- 5. SAS INSTITUTE (2004) SAS/STAT user's guide. Release. Release 9.0. 4th ed. Statistical Analysis Institute, Cary, NC.
- 6. Saberi AR, Mazaheri D, Heidari Sharif Abad H (2006) Effect of density and planting pattern on yield and some agronomic characteristics of maize KSC647. Agricultural and Natural Resources Science 1: 67-76.
- 7. Proter PM, Hicks DK, Lueschen WE, Ford JH, Warnes DD, et al. (1996) Corn response to row width and plant population in the northern corn-belt. J. priod. Agricn 10: 293-300.
- 8. Sprague CF, Dudly JW (1988) Corn and Corn Improvement. Third edition, Madison, Wisconsin U.S.A.
- 9. Brown RH, Beaty ER, Ethedge WJ, Hages DD (1970) Influence of row width and plant population on yield of two varieties of corn (Zea mays L). Agron. J 62: 767-77.
- **10.** Duncan WG (1984) A Theory to explain the relationship between corn population and grain yield. Crop Sci. 24: 1141-1145.
- **11.** Lutz JA, Comper HM, JONES CD (1971) Row spacing and plant Population effects on corn yield. Agron. J 63: 12-14.

Copyright: ©2018 Alireza Saberi\*. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.